## Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

NGOC LAM CHE,

Plaintiff,

v.

ANDREW QUANG NGO, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 22-cv-02481-VKD

## ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE **SETTLEMENT**

Re: Dkt. No. 18

The Court having been informed that the parties have settled this dispute, all previously scheduled deadlines and appearances are VACATED.

On or before **December 2, 2022**, the parties shall file a stipulated dismissal pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(ii). Rule 41(a)(1) permits a plaintiff to voluntarily dismiss a case without a court order (i) by notice if the defendants have not filed an answer or motion for summary judgment, or (ii) by stipulation signed by all parties who have appeared. Because defendants have filed answers to the complaint, plaintiff must file a stipulated dismissal pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1)(ii).

If a dismissal is not filed by the specified date, then the parties shall appear in Courtroom 2, 5th Floor, 280 South First Street, San Jose, California 95113 on December 6, 2022 at 10:00 **a.m.** and show cause, if any, why the case should not be dismissed pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a). Additionally, the parties shall file a statement in response to this Order to Show Cause no later than **December 2, 2022** advising as to (1) the status of the activities of the parties in finalizing settlement; and (2) how much additional time, if any, is requested to finalize the settlement and file the dismissal. If a dismissal is filed as ordered, the Order to Show Cause

## Case 5:22-cv-02481-VKD Document 19 Filed 10/05/22 Page 2 of 2

United States District Court

hearing will be automatically vacated and the parties need not file a statement in response to this Order.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 5, 2022

Virginia K. DEMARCHI United States Magistrate Judge